

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/039,073	Applicant(s) KAPELLER-LIBERMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1643	

All Participants:

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(2) Mario Cloutier.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:
 23-38

 Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 4: 45pm & 2pm, respectively

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The participants discussed the claims were free of the art and consequently allowed, however the specification contained a number of informalities that needed to be corrected before allowance. Mr. Cloutier, Applicants' representative agreed with the Examiner's suggestions and granted her permission to make the necessary changes. .